

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgmia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,753	12/01/2000	Isao Kawahara	NAKI-BN21	8014
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER	
Joseph W Price			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
Price Gess & Ubell Suite 250 2100 S E Main Street Irvine, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2673 DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
:>	Advisory Action	09/701,753	KAWAHARA, ISAO				
7		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		David L Lewis	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d	) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4 and 46-55</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
	Other: Interview Summary	, , (-7-	<del></del>				
_							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants arguments are not persuasive. The claims are sufficiently broad to read on the Hirakawa et al. reference as cited in view of the entire specification. The inequality exists when n equals 6, given the broad scope of the inequality wherein no range of values is specified for i or n. Further the ratio of a sum of luminance weights describe in reference to K exists as claimed.

BIPIN SHALWALA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800